| **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary** | **Application No.** 10/615,820 | **Applicant(s)** BOUVIER ET AL. |
|---|---|---|
| | **Examiner** Ernest G. Therkorn | **Art Unit** 1723 |

**All Participants:**

(1) _Ernest G. Therkorn_.

(2) _Jesse A. Fecker_.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** _11 February 2004_     **Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant     ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**   ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:          .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
_None_

Claims discussed:
_None_

Prior art documents discussed:
_Patent Nos. 6,468,422 and 5,976,367_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
_See Continuation Sheet_

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  The examiner indicated that the case would be allowable if applicants submitted a terminal disclaimer for Patent Nos. 6,468,422 and 5,976,367.  Jesse A. Fecker then indicated he would submit the terminal disclaimers.  This action is being written because the terminal disclaimers would not be processed prior to the examiner's deadline for acting on the case. .